

Shaun Miller

Weber State University

Oriental67@gmail.com

ABSTRACT: Traditionally, love has been seen as searching for one's "other half." We are determined to find our soul mate that if we do not find one, we are deemed "less than human" or not truly living up to our potential as to what life has to offer. In society, the fusion of lovers is permeated through our culture. The replies against this is Sartre but he still falls under the rubric of the fusion model.

Luce Irigaray is a philosopher that stands against the fusion model altogether. She will point out what mistakes both sides have been taken into account and what they have been assuming. For both sides, they are assuming that love can only happen (1) under a unification of the individuals, (2) if the individuals are equal, and (3) under a pre-existing framework. In the end, Irigaray will conclude that love as a unification is possible, but you would not want that anyways because it just leads into sadomasochism. In fact, one would not want love *qua* union at all. Genuine love for Irigaray means that the lovers must be *different*, and with that I will bring in her concept of sexual difference and what could mean for society as a whole if everyone followed the rubric of sexual difference.